Credit and blame at work

Ben Dattner, Ph.D. Allison Dunn

Dattner Consulting, LLC

Definition

The process of assigning causal responsibility to oneself and others, for either positive or negative outcomes in the workplace, is a very important cause and effect of many other variables at the individual, group, and organizational levels of analysis

Credit and blame at work

- > Introduction
- Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Introduction

> Introduction

- Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

In the workplace, credit and blame is an integral part of:

- Job satisfaction
- Turnover
- Lawsuits
- Organizational commitment and morale
- Work relationships and conflicts
- Learning and development
- Performance appraisals
- Safety and violence
- Promotions and raises
- Stock price and financing
- Legislation
- Government intervention

The six stages of a project...

- 1. Enthusiasm
- 2. Disillusionment
- 3. Panic
- 4. Search for the guilty
- 5. Punishment of the innocent
- 6. Rewards for the uninvolved

Credit and blame at work

- Introduction
- Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Individual factors

- Introduction
- > Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Benefits of inaccurate attribution

- Minimize embarrassment, guilt, remorse, and anxiety
- Boost confidence, self-esteem, and sense of control
- Maintain one's status, rank, and reputation in the organization
- Avoid punishment for failures, shortcomings, and mistakes
- Create a simpler, more acceptable explanation for why things are the way they are

- Introduction
- > Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Risks of accurate attribution

- Diminished confidence in one's knowledge, skills, and abilities
- Risks of diminished pride, status, rank, and reputation
- Threatening vested interests and the power structure within the organization
- Empowering rival individuals or groups within the organization
- Having to grapple with complexity, ambiguity, and responsibility

- Introduction
- > Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Risks of inaccurate attribution

- Diminished sense of one's own integrity and true capabilities
- Inability to build on strengths and remedy weaknesses
- Reputation as being unfair, political, or agenda-driven
- Attrition, performance, commitment, and morale issues for peers and subordinates
- Unjustified focus on people rather than problems
- Counterproductive organizational behavior and/or retributive justice in reaction to unfair credit or blame

- Introduction
- > Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Benefits of accurate attribution

- Better assessment of one's own true talents and capabilities
- Individual performance can be improved over time
- Individual learning and development can be accelerated and new challenges can be met more effectively
- One can gain the trust, respect, and loyalty of others

- Introduction
- > Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Individual variables that affect credit and blame processes

Self-serving bias: most individuals tend to take credit for their successes and blame external causes for failure

Actor-Observer effect: most individuals attribute others' behavior to personal or dispositional factors and their own behavior to situational factors

- Introduction
- > Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Individual variables that affect credit and blame processes

- People who are narcissistic are more susceptible to self-serving biases, taking credit for good outcomes and blaming others for bad outcomes
- People who are open to experience and agreeable are less prone to inaccurate or self-serving biases in terms of credit and blame
- People who are depressed are more likely to attribute failures to internal, stable, and global factors

> Individual factors

Group factors

Organizational factors

Conclusion

Levers for change

- Recognize that trying to change the dynamics of credit and blame in an organization is complex and can be very risky
- Consider your own role in the credit and blame dynamics and ways that you might be inadvertently helping to maintain the status quo
- Explore strategies for soliciting and responding to more feedback in a non-defensive manner
- Explore strategies for holding yourself more clearly accountable to criteria that are as objective and transparent as possible
- "Look in the mirror and out the window"

> Individual factors

Group factors

Organizational factors

Conclusion

Levers for change

- Recognizing that there will be risk involved, explore strategies for giving more honest and candid feedback to others
 - Try to assign blame and credit more accurately while balancing the need to be sensitive
 - Carefully consider the timing and the venue when communicating credit or blame
 - Attempt to frame things positively when possible and change the focus from the past to the future
- Work to institute more objective and transparent systems for the analysis of individual, team, and organizational performance

Credit and blame at work

- Introduction
- Individual factors
- > Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Group factors

- Introduction
- · Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Benefits of inaccurate attribution

- Preservation of group stability, power structure, and cohesiveness
- Minimize anxiety about questioning assumptions or people
- Maintenance of internal group pride and commitment
- Maintenance of group status and reputation in the organization
- The group avoids punishment for failures, shortcomings, and mistakes

- Introduction
- · Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Risks of accurate attribution

- Diminished confidence in the group's capabilities
- Risks of hurting the self-esteem of members of the group
- Risks of challenging the established power structure of the group
- Diminished support or rewards from the rest of the organization
- Transparency to other groups can lead to vulnerabilities

- Introduction
- · Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Risks of inaccurate attribution

- The group is not able to accurately assess its internal capabilities and limitations
- Team performance and learning can both be adversely impacted
- Power and rewards can be unfairly allocated, leading to more dissatisfaction and higher turnover
- The group can suffer from internal and external suspicion and mistrust
- There can be unnecessary symbolic and substantive conflicts with other groups

- Introduction
- · Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Benefits of accurate attribution

- Better assessments of what the group can and cannot accomplish
- More efficient and effective team learning
- Increased trust, communication, and cohesion within the group
- The alignment of power and rewards with actual expertise and contributions
- Better communication with other groups
- Enhanced reputation in the rest of the organization

- Introduction
- · Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Group variables

- Level of motivation to constantly align and realign internal structures and processes to meet external challenges
- Norms for candid communication, risk taking, asking for help, admitting mistakes, and learning
- Norms of transparency and a commitment to maintaining trust
- Not necessarily a comfortable environment, but a "safe" one
- The style and approach of the leader or leaders of the group
- The style and approach of the members of the group

- Introduction
- · Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Levers for change

- Teams should take both an adaptive and a technical approach to challenges
- Select team leaders and members based on their ability to thrive in and foster a learning environment
- Teams should assess and improve their ability to be constructively self-critical
- Stop for comprehensive process checks at key intervals

Credit and blame at work

- Introduction
- Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Organizational factors

- Introduction
- Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Benefits of inaccurate attribution

- Can help the organization maintain its prestige and standing in the community
- Can help maintain the power structure in organizations
- Convince investors to support the organization and management
- Prevent the government or regulators from intervening
- Protects the pride and self-esteem of members of the organization

- Introduction
- · Individual factors
- Group factors
- > Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Risks of accurate attribution

- Having to confront hard facts about the quality of products or services
- Diminished support and/or rewards from external stakeholders, i.e.: Wall Street and investors
- Can challenge the power structure in the organization
- Lawsuits and/or government/regulatory intervention
- The self-esteem and status of organizational members can be negatively impacted

- Introduction
- · Individual factors
- Group factors
- > Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Risks of inaccurate attribution

- Organizational learning is prevented or slowed down
- Leadership roles filled with those who are most politically connected rather than those who are most capable
- The organization loses focus on ensuring that internal capabilities will be configured to meet changing external demands
- Widespread anxiety as people fear that they might be unfairly rewarded and/or punished
- Less organizational citizenship behavior and discretionary effort
- Violence, revenge, and sabotage in response to injustices

- Introduction
- Individual factors
- Group factors
- > Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Benefits of accurate attribution

- Organizational performance and learning increase
- Power and rewards accrue due to merit rather than politics
- The organization unleashes more of its employees' discretionary efforts
- Social bonds and trust within the organization are stronger
- The organization can develop better relationships with external entities when it holds itself responsible

- Introduction
- · Individual factors
- Group factors
- > Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Benefits of accurate attribution

- Increased levels of organizational commitment, performance, job satisfaction, focus, and good citizenship behavior
- Increased levels of justice and fairness
- Resources spent understanding business drivers and developing strategies rather than building alliances and playing politics
- Relevant and realistic appraisals of individual and team performance for development, rewards, and role assignments

- Introduction
- · Individual factors
- Group factors
- > Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Organizational variables

- Organizational history and the degree and kinds of organizational politics
- The culture of the organization- i.e.: the level of participation and openness, tolerance for innovation and risk taking, etc.
- The style and approach of leaders of the organization
- The style and approach of members of the organization
- The balance of power both within the organization and between the organization and external entities/stakeholders

- Introduction
- Individual factors
- Group factors
- > Organizational factors
- Conclusion

Levers for change

- Consider the ways that inaccurate assignment of credit and blame is both a cause and an effect of other issues
- Select organizational leaders for their ability to foster an open, fair environment
- Select organizational members based on their likelihood of being able to solicit, receive, appreciate, and give candid feedback
- Develop cultural norms regarding credit and blame
- Establish the right criteria for what does and does not merit credit/blame and periodically reevaluate these criteria

Credit and blame at work

- Introduction
- Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- > Conclusion

Conclusion

- Introduction
- Individual factors
- Group factors
- Organizational factors
- **➢** Conclusion

- The processes by which credit and blame are assigned are at the very center of organizational behavior
- Individuals, groups, and organizations that attempt to change the ways in which credit and blame are assigned must take risks in the short term in order to do so
- Individuals, groups, and organizations that monitor and improve the processes by which credit and blame are assigned on an ongoing basis are likely to be more successful over time

Ben Dattner, Ph.D.

ben@dattnerconsulting.com

www.dattnerconsulting.com

Allison Dunn

allison@dattnerconsulting.com